Effects of Whole-Body Vibration on Fibromyalgia: Is There Any Evidence to Support Clinical Practice?
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Abstract

Introduction: Whole Body Vibration (WBV) exercise has been widely applied in the clinical practice. Thus, the present review aims to provide an updated review of current literature on the effects and efficacy of WBV alone or combined with exercise in patients with fibromyalgia (FM).

Methods: The works were identified and selected from the PubMed, Cochrane-Central-Register-of-Controlled-Trials and Physiotherapy Evidence-Database (PEDro) databases. Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and case-control studies were selected without language restrictions. Articles including single or multiple WBV sessions have been identified.

Results: Nine works were included in the review (1 case-control study and 8 RCTs). The average PEDro score was 7.44 ± 0.95. The sample size varied from 20 to 46 participants, involving only women diagnosed with FM. The outcomes identified were pain, balance, quality of life, fatigue and disability. Only one study investigated FM-related inflammatory biomarkers.

Conclusions: Although WBV treatment appears to be safe and feasible, there is limited evidence to support WBV application in clinical practice in patients with FM.

Introduction

Fibromyalgia (FM) is a chronic disorder whose etiology remains unknown. FM is characterized by generalized inflammatory pain, tenderness that persists for at least three months and the presence of at least 11 of the 18 specified tender points. The FM prevalence in the general population is approximately 2-3%, where 90% are women¹.

FM is associated with several deficiencies and activity limitations, including fatigue, disrupted sleep, impaired cognition, poor functional capacity, headaches, arthritis, muscle spasm, tingling and balance dysfunctions². FM is also related to other diseases, such as rheumatoid arthritis and ankylosing spondylitis³-⁵. Thus, several approaches have been used to minimize deficiencies and limitations of activity and increase the quality of life of this population, including pharmacological and non-pharmacological therapies⁶-⁹.

There is strong evidence showing that both pharmacological and non-pharmacological approaches can be effective⁶,¹⁰-¹². Non-
pharmacological therapies include physical interventions such as yoga, Tai chi, walking, and whole-body vibration (WBV) exercise. During WBV, the individual is positioned on the platform and stimuli are transmitted to the body, where the intensity is determined by peak-to-peak displacement, amplitude, frequency and acceleration of the oscillation. Authors speculate that WBV therapy can improve balance, pain, and fatigue; however, the results are contradictory.

There have been three reviews examining the effects of WBV stimulus on symptoms associated with FM. However, these reviews have examined only articles published between 2008 and 2015. Other articles have been published more recently. There is limited evidence on the efficacy or effectiveness of this approach in the FM population because of the limited methodological quality of the previous studies. In addition, we report the importance of describing work that address the International Classification of Functioning and Disability and Health (ICF).

The importance of addressing ICF in recent studies refers to the fact that this classification can provide unified and standardized language as well as a framework for describing health and health-related states. The most important feature of ICF is the possibility of measuring the impact of the disease on the individual and the environment, making it a useful tool for research approaches. Considering that the instrument covers the patient as a whole, it is able to analyze the individual for functionality and the sociocultural condition in which it is inserted.

Therefore, in an attempt to address these gaps, the purpose of the present systematic review was to provide an updated analysis of the research on the effects and efficacy of WBV alone or combined with exercise. Studies that indirectly addressed the (ICF), as well as biological parameters related to inflammatory profile related to FM, are contextualized.

**Methods**

**Electronic database searches and selection strategy**

A search was conducted on the Cochrane Library, the Physiotherapy Evidence Database (PEDro) and the PubMed database up to May 2018. Keywords used in our search strategy were “fibromyalgia” and “vibration”. These activities were supervised by experts in the field of WBV in FM. We used PICO components (Patients/Population, Intervention, Comparison, Results) to establish elements to our search strategy, where people with FM (P); WBV Therapy (I); Comparison between WBV versus minimal intervention and additional (C); outcomes of interest were body functions and structures, activities and participation (O). Duplicate articles were manually removed by one of the authors.

We inserted a flow chart delineating the complete selection process in the systematic review (Figure 1). In our study, the inclusion and exclusion criteria for the studies were described as follows. Inclusion criteria: studies examining adults with fibromyalgia; including single or multiple WBV sessions that used the PICO approach (Patients, Intervention, Control, Results, and Study Design). Exclusion criteria: PEDro score <5. The search was completed on the 26th of May 2018, with no submission deadline being imposed, the selection was performed by two independent evaluators.

**Types of participants**

We included works that examined adults with fibromyalgia in the review. We selected works that used published criteria for diagnosis (or classification) of fibromyalgia. Until recently, the American College of Rheumatology (ACR) 1990 criteria served as the standard for classifying individuals as having fibromyalgia when they have experienced widespread pain lasting longer than three months and when pain can be elicited at 11 of 18 specific tender points on the body with 4 kg tactile pressure. A newer preliminary diagnostic tool — ACR 2010 (1) — does not rely upon an examination of a physical tender point and is available as both a clinician-administered tool and a survey questionnaire. This measure includes a Widespread Pain Index (19 areas representing anterior and posterior axes and limbs) in addition to a Symptom Severity Scale containing items related to secondary symptoms such as fatigue, sleep disturbance, cognition, and somatic complaints. Scores on both measures are used to determine whether a person qualifies as meeting a “case definition” of fibromyalgia. This tool has been used to classify 88.1% of cases that meet ACR 1990 criteria, and it permits ongoing monitoring of symptom change in people with a current or previous diagnosis of fibromyalgia. Although measurements focusing on tender point counts have been widely applied in clinical and research settings, methods described by Wolfe 2010 and Wolfe 2011 promise to classify people with fibromyalgia more efficiently, while allowing improved monitoring of disease status over time. Although differences among published fibromyalgia diagnostic/classification criteria are known, we considered all published criteria to be acceptable and comparable for the purposes of this review.

**Types of interventions**

We examined trials that studied WBV exercise interventions (e.g., moving or holding a standing position while on an oscillating platform) regardless of the frequency, duration, or intensity of exercise sessions. We have provided an example of a WBV exercise intervention in Appendix 2. We categorized interventions by the duration of the program (e.g., “short” < seven weeks;
“intermediate” seven to 12 weeks, “long” > 12 weeks) and by frequency of training per week (e.g., once per week, twice per week, and three or more times per week). Comparative interventions included control (e.g., placebo or sham intervention).

Assessing the risk of bias

The PEDro scale was used to assess the risk of bias in the selected articles. This is a scale that classifies the methodological quality of work evaluating physiotherapeutic interventions. The PEDro scale was chosen because of its special design and ability to provide an overview of the external and internal validity of the studies. The assessment of the methodological quality of the studies was performed independently by two investigators. A third investigator was contacted in case of disagreement, and the assessment was resolved by consensus. Studies that already provided a PEDro score were re-evaluated by one of the researchers. (Note: there was total agreement between PEDro and researcher scores). Table 1 shows the consensus results for each article.

Determining the level of evidence

The level of evidence was determined using the PEDro Scale guidelines, a scale that classifies the methodological quality of studies evaluating physiotherapeutic interventions.

Data extraction

Data were extracted from the selected articles by one of the authors. This extraction was checked by a second author. Any disagreement was discussed and ultimately resolved by a third author if the contact with the original author of the article could not be established.

For each selected article, the following data were extracted: (a) the sample and protocol characteristics, namely, the sample size, age, and activity of the control and WBV groups (Table2), and (b) the vibration therapy details, namely, the type of device and its oscillation (acceleration, frequency, peak-to-peak displacement and amplitude), the duration of the intervention, the number of WBV sessions, the number of vibration series, the rest period, and the exposure duration in each series (Table3).

Synthesis and Data Analysis

A descriptive analysis of measurements of WBV effects was performed on each selected result. In the articles with significant results, we calculated the changes between the groups using the SES (Standard Effect Size) values, which were analyzed through means and standard deviations. The effect size was considered to be small (for SES values = 0.2), medium (SES = 0.5) and large (SES = 0.8) in accordance with the definitions of Cohen (1992)27.

Results

Article selection

A total of 40 articles were identified in the electronic search of the Cochrane (12 articles), PubMed (15 articles) and PEDro (13 articles) databases. After checking duplicates, fifteen articles were removed, and remained twenty-five articles that were checked (title and abstract). After screening, reinamining ten articles that were evaluated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eligibility criteria</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Random Allocation</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concealed Allocation</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline comparability</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient Blinded</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessor Blinded</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adequate follow-up (&gt;85%)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intention to treat analysis</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between-group-comparisons</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poin estimates and variability</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total PEDro score</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample size ≥ 50</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Risk of bias and level of evidence.
Table 2. Studies characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study, Type of study and Recruitment</th>
<th>Participants Characteristics</th>
<th>Inclusion criterion</th>
<th>Exclusion criterion</th>
<th>Findings</th>
<th>Conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ribeiro et al 2018&lt;sup&gt;23&lt;/sup&gt; Prospective paired case control Brazilian Journal of Medical and Biological Research</td>
<td>40 participants (20 WBV 20 control)</td>
<td>Dynamic squatting</td>
<td>Women diagnosed with FM according to the ACR.</td>
<td>Modulation of the inflammatory profile in women with FM.</td>
<td>A single acute short and short WBV session might improve the inflammatory state in FM patients, reaching values close to those of healthy mates in their baseline state.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avel et al 2017 (2) RCT&lt;sup&gt;24&lt;/sup&gt; Complement Ther Clin Pract</td>
<td>20 participants FM (10 WBV 10 Control)</td>
<td>Diagnosis of FM, based on criteria the ACR.</td>
<td>One or more possible contraindications for a WBV intervention (acute hernia, thrombosis, diabetes, epilepsy, metabolic or neuromuscular diseases, osteoporosis, osteoarthritis, orthopedic injuries and protheses).</td>
<td>Significant improvement of the EX + VCI group in the QIF questionnaire.</td>
<td>The WBV was effective in reducing the symptoms of fibromyalgia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanudo et al 2013 (3) RCT&lt;sup&gt;25&lt;/sup&gt; J Rehabil Med</td>
<td>46 participants FM +3 Gr. Ex.(15) Ex+VCI.(15) Control (16)</td>
<td>static squatting</td>
<td>Women diagnosed with FM according to the ACR.</td>
<td>Contraindications for a WBV intervention (acute hernia, thrombosis, diabetes, epilepsy, metabolic or neuromuscular diseases, osteoporosis, osteoarthritis, orthopedic injuries and protheses). Use of drugs that could interfere in the control of balance, were also omitted.</td>
<td>Traditional exercise program, supplemented with full-body vibratory training, improved balance in women with fibromyalgia. This might be a key factor in the prevention of falls in patient with FM.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adsuar et al 2012 (4)&lt;sup&gt;26&lt;/sup&gt; RCT J Sports Med Phys Fitness.</td>
<td>41 participants (20 WBV 21 Control)</td>
<td>Dynamic squatting</td>
<td>FM had been diagnosed by a rheumatologist in accordance with the diagnostic criteria of the ACR.</td>
<td>History of severe trauma, frequent migraines, peripheral nerve entrapment, inflammatory rheumatic diseases, severe psychiatric illness, disease that prevents physical loading, pregnancy, participation in a psychological or physical therapy program, or participation in regular physical exercise more than once a week for 30 min or longer over a 2-week period in the last 5 years.</td>
<td>Specially, there was an improvement in the balance of 57.1% in general stability and 66.6% in anteroposterior stability. Whole-body vibration therapy effectively improves the static balance in patients with FM.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanudo et al 2012 (5) RCT&lt;sup&gt;27&lt;/sup&gt; J Altern Complement Med</td>
<td>30 participants (15 WBV 15 Control)</td>
<td>static squatting</td>
<td>Diagnosis of FM, based on criteria the ACR.</td>
<td>Disease possible contraindications for a WBV intervention (acute hernia, thrombosis, diabetes, epilepsy, metabolic or neuromuscular diseases, osteoporosis, osteoarthritis, orthopedic injuries and protheses).</td>
<td>Significant differences were found (p &lt;0.05) between the study groups for the Mid-Lateral Stability Index (MLSI). Women with FM can increase their MLSI by participating in a traditional 6-week exercise program with supplemental WBV. This fact can have implications for the prevention of falls in this group of patients.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Design</td>
<td>Participants</td>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>Outcome measures</td>
<td>Results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olivares et al 2011</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>36 participants (18 WBV 18 Control)</td>
<td>WBV 53.0±12.0 CT 54.4 ±10.8</td>
<td>Dynamic squatting</td>
<td>The assignment criteria were applied: a history of severe physical trauma inflammatory rheumatic disease, severe psychiatric illness, any comorbid disease that might prevent physical loading, pregnancy, participation in another psychologic or physical therapy program, or a history of regular physical exercise during the previous 5 years. Significant differences were found in the QoL scores compared to the CT group. WBV was a viable intervention that provided improvement in QoL in women previously not physically trained with FM.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanudo et al 2010</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>30 participants (15 WBV 15 Control)</td>
<td>WBV 57.89±6.23 CT 60.13±9.42</td>
<td>Dynamic squatting and static</td>
<td>Exclusion criteria included the presence of inflammatory rheumatic disorders or degenerative joint diseases, psychiatric disorders, and respiratory or cardiovascular diseases that could interfere with the physical activity programme. Subjects who were performing structured physical activity for at least two days a week or had psychological therapy during the six months prior to the study were also excluded. Significant improvements in all measured outcomes were found from the start in both groups. However, it was accompanied by reductions in the SF36 scores of 9.8% in the CT group. Women with FM5 can gain additional health benefits by participating in a traditional 6-week exercise program with supplemental IVCT.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gusi et al 2010</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>41 participants (21 WBV 20 Control)</td>
<td>WBV 53.0±12.0 CT 52.4±10.8</td>
<td>Dynamic squatting</td>
<td>Women Diagnosed by a rheumatologist in accordance with the diagnostic criteria of the ACR. Exclusion criteria included history of severe trauma, frequent migraines, peripheral nerve entrapment, inflammatory rheumatic diseases, severe psychiatric illness, other diseases that prevent physical loading, pregnancy, participation in another psychological or physical therapy program, or participation in regular physical exercise more than once a week from 30 minutes during any 2-week period in the last 5 years. Based on the ITT analysis, the dynamic balance of the vibration group improved by 36% compared to the baseline, where as the control group remained unchanged. The differences in the dynamic equilibrium index were predicted (61%, P &lt;0.001). The vibration program was useful and feasible to improve the dynamic balance in women with FM. These new results support new research aimed at the development of physiotherapy programs using controlled vibration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alentorn et al 2008</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>36 participants (12 WBV 12 WBV+EX 11 Control)</td>
<td>WBV 55.2±3.4 CT 53.7 ±2.7 EX 59.3 ±2.3</td>
<td>Dynamic squatting and static</td>
<td>Limitation, cardiovascular, pulmonary, or metabolic disease that would preclude exercise, or when participating in any other study (Fig. 1). Written informed consent was obtained from each subject prior to participation in the study according to procedures approved by the Committee on Biomedical Ethics of the Jordi Gol Gurina Foundation (Spain). The results suggest that a traditional 6-week exercise program with supplemental IVCT safely reduces pain and fatigue, while exercise alone does not induce improvement.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 3. Details of vibratory therapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>WBV type and commercial name</th>
<th>Type treatment</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Amplitude</th>
<th>Acceleration</th>
<th>Series duration</th>
<th>Rest</th>
<th>Posture</th>
<th>Comparison protocol</th>
<th>Additional treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ribeiro et al 2018</td>
<td>FitVibe Synchronous</td>
<td>Session single</td>
<td>40 Hz</td>
<td>4 mm</td>
<td>25.7 g</td>
<td>8x40 seconds</td>
<td>30 seg</td>
<td>Dynamic squattin</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alev et al 2017</td>
<td>Galileo Fitness Vibration</td>
<td>Session Multiple</td>
<td>30 Hz</td>
<td>2 mm</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>1x 5 minute</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Dynamic squattin</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanudo et al 2013</td>
<td>Galileo Fitness Vibration</td>
<td>Session Multiple</td>
<td>30 Hz</td>
<td>4 mm</td>
<td>14.5 g</td>
<td>6x30 seconds</td>
<td>45 seconds</td>
<td>Dynamic squattin</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adsuar et al 2012</td>
<td>Galileo Fitness Vibration</td>
<td>Session Multiple</td>
<td>30 Hz</td>
<td>4 mm</td>
<td>14.5 g</td>
<td>60 seconds</td>
<td>30 seconds</td>
<td>Dynamic squattin</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanudo et al 2012</td>
<td>Galileo Fitness Vibration</td>
<td>Session Multiple</td>
<td>20 Hz</td>
<td>3 mm</td>
<td>4.8 g</td>
<td>120 seconds</td>
<td>3x45 seconds (bilateral)</td>
<td>Dynamic squattin</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olivares et al 2011</td>
<td>Galileo Fitness Vibration</td>
<td>Session Multiple</td>
<td>30 Hz</td>
<td>4 mm</td>
<td>14.5 g</td>
<td>30 seconds</td>
<td>3x45 seconds (unilateral)</td>
<td>Dynamic squattin</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sañudo et al 2010</td>
<td>Galileo Fitness Vibration</td>
<td>Session Multiple</td>
<td>20 Hz</td>
<td>3 mm</td>
<td>4.8 g</td>
<td>120 seconds</td>
<td>3x45 seconds (bilateral)</td>
<td>Dynamic squattin</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gusi et al 2010</td>
<td>Galileo Fitness Vibration</td>
<td>Session Multiple</td>
<td>12.5 Hz</td>
<td>4 mm</td>
<td>2.5 g</td>
<td>30 seconds</td>
<td>3x45 seconds (unilateral)</td>
<td>Dynamic squattin</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alentorn et al 2008</td>
<td>Power Plate Synchronous</td>
<td>Session Multiple</td>
<td>30 Hz</td>
<td>2 mm</td>
<td>7.2 g</td>
<td>6x30 seconds</td>
<td>180 seconds</td>
<td>Dynamic squattin</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Details of vibratory therapy including acceleration: frequency and amplitude of oscillation, duration of intervention, number of WBV sessions and number of vibration series, rest period and duration of exposure in each series.

About eligibility. Thereafter, one article was excluded because it scored lower than 5 in Pedro Scale. Finally, nine articles were included in our systematic review, with two different study designs (eg, randomized case or control) and two types of intervention (eg single session or multiple sessions). (Figure 1).

**Risk of bias**

According to the PEDro scale score, the score of the studies ranged from 5 to 8 points. The average (SD) score was 7.44 ± 0.95. The lowest scores were obtained for questions three ("concealed allocation"), five ("there was
WBV parameters

WBV equipment

One study used the Power Plate vibratory platform23, seven studies used the Galileo vibratory platform24,28-33 and one study used the FitVibe platform34. The Galileo vibratory platform produces side-alternating stimulus, whereas the FitVibe and Power Plate platforms produce a synchronous vibration.

Frequency and amplitude

Studies differed in terms of amplitude and frequency of vibration. Seven studies used lateral alternating path stimulus vibration employing an amplitude of 2-4 mm and a frequency of 12.5 - 30 Hz, and two studies used vertical synchronous stimulus vibration employing an amplitude of 2-4 mm and a frequency of 30-40 Hz. (Table 3).

Performance on the platform

The postures used in the studies also varied. In five studies, subjects maintained a dynamic stance on the platform during vibration23,28,29,32,33, whereas subjects of three studies maintained a static posture on the platform during vibration24,30,31, and the subjects maintained static and dynamic postures during vibration in only one study34. All the works specified that both feet were always flat on the platform during vibration. The knee angle varied between 45° and 130° in the static model and between 90° and 180° in the dynamic model (Table 3).

Key measurements and effects

The outcomes with the highest level of completion and considered to represent the outcomes were pain, balance, quality of life, fatigue and disability24,28-34. Only one study analyzed biological aspects related to FM that characterize modeling of the inflammatory profile23 (Table 2).

Pain

Pain is the most important symptom in FM, but it was specifically assessed in only one study, which reported improvement in pain (Effect Size f = 0.74), as compared to basal and control groups34. However, pain is part of the Fibromyalgia Impact Questionnaire (FIQ) used to evaluate quality of life in FM.

Balance

Four studies28,30,33 evaluated the effects of WBV therapy on balance, specifically dynamic and static balance. One study showed that WBV significantly improved the dynamic balance (Effect Size f = 0.46) in the WBV group33. In three studies, mediolateral and anteroposterior indices were both measured (Effect Size f = 0.60; Effect Size f = 0.66). Only the mediolateral stability index improved in two studies29,30, and no statistical differences were observed in the third study30.

Study Characteristics

The characteristics of the studies using the PICO (Patients, Intervention, Control, Outcomes, and Study design) approach are summarized in Table 2. All nine studies were performed with adult and older women with FM, and the sample size varied from 20 to 46 participants.
Quality of life

Only one study evaluated the health-related quality of life using the health status questionnaire (SF-36), which evaluates limitations, body pain, vitality, mental health and general health perception. This study showed WBV training improved SF-36 scores (Effect Size $f = 0.42$)\(^31\).

Fatigue and disability

Three studies evaluated the effect of WBV therapy on fatigue and disability\(^24,32,34\). They used the QIF questionnaire that measures physical function (activities of daily living), and general work. Improvements in fatigue (Effect Size $f = 0.48$) and disability (Effect Size $f = 0.60$ - Effect Size $f = 0.62$) domains by WBV were observed.

Effects of a single WBV session

Ribeiro et al. 2018\(^23\) (40 participants) investigated the acute effects of a single WBV session on biomarkers of inflammation in FM and healthy-paired subjects, demonstrating an improvement in inflammatory profile with only one vibration session. Thus, subjects with FM reached values close to those of healthy individuals with paired anthropometric characteristics. The mechanism of neuroendocrinology appeared to be exercise-induced modulation towards a greater adaptation to the stress response in these patients.

Effects of multiple WBV sessions

Eight studies (320 participants) investigated the effects of multiple WBV sessions. The training period was approximately 4-12 weeks. Significant results were related to the improvement of dynamic balance\(^28,33\), static balance\(^29,30,33\), reduction of pain, improvement of disability and quality of life through QIF\(^24,32,34\) and improvement in muscle strength, functional performance and fatigue\(^31\).

ICF Approaches

Five of the nine studies assessed outcomes related to structure and function domains, i.e., balance tests, six-minute walk test, strength and fatigue\(^28,31,33\), three of the nine studies addressed the results related to participation, i.e., quality of life (SF-36, and FIQ)\(^24,31,32\), one study evaluated depression through the Beck Depression Index questionnaire, related to environmental and personal aspects\(^24\).

Discussion

This review evaluated the possible benefits of WBV in women with FM. Nine studies analyzed and presented an adequate methodological basis with a risk of bias evaluated by the PEDro scale (> 6), totaling 320 participants. Of these, 300 obtained a confirmed diagnosis of FM by the Rheumatologist, which can guarantee greater reliability in the results. There was a heterogeneity of results, participants and intervention protocols that made a meta-analysis impossible. The results of this review have demonstrated that WBV therapy can improve various FM symptoms, for example, inflammatory parameters, disability, pain, quality of life, poor balance and platform fatigue\(^23,24,28-34\).

The duration of treatment might be extremely relevant in assessing the effects of WBV on FM fatigue. Five studies involved only 4-6 weeks of complementary vibration therapy\(^24,28,30,31,34\), and one study involved 12 weeks of vibratory therapy\(^23\). This last study reported a significant improvement in fatigue compared to the control group, whereas the other five studies did not show significant improvements among the groups. Thus, we suppose that the effect of WBV therapy on fatigue is the result of adaptations to multiple sessions. Moreover, a rigorous and methodical analysis of which protocol would be appropriate for the objective of the study seems necessary because the type of protocol used and the exercise modality could influence the evaluation of the effects of WBV on some symptoms of FM\(^35\).

We emphasize the importance of the standardization of the terminology in WBV studies because each study addresses different concepts and terms (e.g., platform type, synchronous-asynchronous-alternating), which makes the interpretation and replication of used protocols difficult\(^17,36\).

Regarding the result for balance, there were differences between the studies. These discrepancies might reflect differences between WBV protocols or different vibrating platform stimuli (Galileo and Power Plate). Thus, there is a need for even more clarified protocols to avoid the occurrence of discrepancies in the results. The most commonly used exercise for WBV in patients with FM was the exercise of dynamic or static squatting. It has not yet been investigated whether one modality of squatting is superior to another. Some WBVT devices also feature vibratory handles or straps that are attached to the platform so that isometric exercises for the upper extremities can be performed. Whether these vibration training applications for the upper body are useful or effective in patients with FM has yet to be investigated.

Only one study investigated the effect of a single WBV session on inflammatory parameters in FM. Although this study has shown that this stimulus seems to improve the inflammatory state in patients with FM, reaching values close to those found in paired patients in the basal state, a gap remains with respect to the possible physiological adaptations. We emphasize the importance of further studies on this subject that can contribute to understanding the etiology of FM. A correlation of FM with a neuroendocrine inflammatory profile has been observed\(^37\).
Many patients may report difficulties in performing daily tasks, and it is necessary to approach the context of ICF, which helps to understand and shift the axis of the disease to the health axis. This new approach might allow the evaluation of the complete experience of the individual, investigating the contextual factors (personal and environmental) that can lead to limitations in activity and participation. Although the studies reviewed herein were not directed by the ICF, many of the variables evaluated could have been analyzed in the context of ICF. Thus, we suggest that further studies be performed considering at least one outcome in each ICF domain.

Although important findings are described, this review presents some limitations because of the (i) small number of studies using WBV in FM; (ii) wide variation in the vibration protocol and (iii) evaluation of different outcomes; (iv) as expected for studies with this intervention, blinded patients are not possible. The literature search was performed in three electronic databases: the Cochrane Library, the Physiotherapy Evidence Database (PEDro) and PubMed, and, therefore, some studies might not have been identified by the research. We updated the survey periodically.

Conclusion

WBV can be an alternative therapy for FM patients, modulating the inflammatory profile, improving the balance, disability, quality of life, fatigue and pain of this population. However, the small number of studies using WBV on FM and its wide variation in protocol of vibration, intervention and measurements are insufficient to substantiate treatment strategies and approaches. In general, authors become optimistic about the effects of WBV for individuals with FM, stating that research suggests that patients with FM can significantly improve if they participate in a personalized treatment. Nevertheless, there is limited evidence to support WBV in FM patients.
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